

[REDACTED]

From: Julie Hutton [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 July 2026 11:54
To: Botley West Solar Farm
Subject: Re: Interested Party less than 250m from development boundary

Categories: SoS Consultation 2 Response

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

Further to my email of June 20th I have since been led to understand that PVDP have requested to submit further information for consideration by yourselves/the Secretary of State (SoS) despite all deadlines having been and gone. This is extremely concerning as in effect this could mean they are given the green light on the development before any interested parties have the opportunity to consider and respond to the new plans/new information. Given previous submissions by PVDP have contained notable inaccuracies and omissions there is nothing to suggest these further submissions will adequately address outstanding concerns and be accurate and complete. If this "extension" was allowed not only will it potentially allow the development to proceed without proper consultation on any changes to the plans, it will also set a concerning precedent for other developers to submit incomplete/inaccurate plans with regards to future large scale developments in the hopes of securing permission prior to proper evaluation/consultation.

I would respectfully suggest that, if PVDP have failed to fully and satisfactorily answer all of the SoS queries by the deadline of July 9th, and given their failure to carry out an accurate and complete Residential Visual Amenity Assessment (please refer to my email of June 20th as a prime example of a property 135m from the proposed development that has been completely misrepresented in the RVAA) despite ample opportunity to do so, that PVDP's current application is not afforded any further leeway with regard deadlines. Should they attempt to submit any further plans or information (such as a new RVAA) then this should be treated, in my opinion, as a brand new submission and they should join "the back of the queue" while the SoS considers other applications and means to provide clean energy for the UK.

Should the process progress further I feel I must state that I now have very little confidence in PVDP's ability to deliver the project on time and inline with any provisions as they have demonstrated a distinct lack of ability to keep to deadlines and to produce accurate information in the planning stages. I have even less confidence that any complications that may arise during the development.maintenance and decommissioning of the solar installation will be dealt with in a timely, effective, honest and empathetic manner, given the applicant's track record.

I appreciate your time and consideration of the points raised here and in my previous email.

Julie Hutton

[REDACTED]